

OSWALD'S USE OF THE 544 CAMP ST. ADDRESS

PRELIMINARY NOTES
CONFIDENTIAL

1) Did the Lamont pamphlet which Quigley saw on 8/10/63 have this address?
Arguments for: 2 of the copies of this pamphlet which were taken from Oswald after his arrest did have this address (CD 1495); I know of none that did not. (Obviously a very weak argument.)
Arguments against: As far as I know, the address is not mentioned in any of the reports made at the time of the address. arrest.
To be checked: Other copies of this pamphlet: perhaps SS 407 in CD 87; Bringuier's copy (see CD 75, p. 703); FBI items 99, 303; check name files for Lamont; &c.

2) Should Quigley have noticed this address if it appeared?
Arguments for: He "reviewed" this document with Oswald (4H437).
(Also, before he saw Oswald he looked at some of Oswald's literature; 4H432)
Quigley reported that "Oswald stated in addition to this he had on his person several copies of a thirty-nine page pamphlet entitled "The Crime Against Cuba" ..." (17H761)(Emphasis added.) I doubt that Oswald said "I had this 39-page pamphlet with me," or the like; Quigley probably looked at it himself and noted its length. Note that the back cover bears no indication that it is page 40; thus Quigley probably looked at page 39. (Cf. CE 3120)
Arguments against: See 1) above.

3) Was a copy of the pamphlet in the FBI N.O. files before the assassination?
Arguments for: Quigley said that Oswald "made available a copy of each" of the 3 documents, including this pamphlet.
One of the handbills in FBI Exhibit D-25 may have been obtained from Oswald on 8/10/63. (The notations on the reverse are almost illegible.) Perhaps the other 2 documents were also so obtained.
To check: any memos relating to FBI Exhibit D-25. Look for the third document, the FPCC application, in the files. Check name file under Quigley.
Arguments against: "Made available" may not mean that Quigley took the items.
Martello said he gave Vial of the SS "all" the literature he had obtained from Oswald on 11/23/63.

4) What investigation, if any, did the FBI make of Oswald's use of this address?
Are FBI reports on this withheld?
Why was the Secret Service investigating this angle so extensively?
(Note that at least twice the FBI was not very helpful to the SS : see the penultimate paragraph of CE 1414 and the 3rd paragraph of SS 1785, CD 1495.)
To be checked: look generally for FBI reports on this angle. Check under the names of the 544 Camp St. people.

Paul Hoch
December 21, 1967